

## Size distribution and number concentration of the 10nm-20um aerosol at an urban background site, Gennevilliers, Paris area

Olivier Le Bihan, Sylvain Geofroy, H el ene Marfaing, Robin Aujay, Mika el  
Reynaud

### ► To cite this version:

Olivier Le Bihan, Sylvain Geofroy, H el ene Marfaing, Robin Aujay, Mika el Reynaud. Size distribution and number concentration of the 10nm-20um aerosol at an urban background site, Gennevilliers, Paris area. Conference "Air pollution and environmental health from science to action: the challenge of particulate matter", Sep 2006, Lille, France. pp.45, 2006. <ineris-00972544>

**HAL Id: ineris-00972544**

**<https://hal-ineris.archives-ouvertes.fr/ineris-00972544>**

Submitted on 3 Apr 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destin ee au d ep ot et  a la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publi es ou non,  emanant des  tablissements d'enseignement et de recherche franais ou  trangers, des laboratoires publics ou priv es.

# **SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND NUMBER CONCENTRATION OF THE 10nm-20µm AEROSOL AT AN URBAN BACKGROUND SITE, GENNEVILLIERS, PARIS AREA.**

O. LE BIHAN<sup>1</sup>, S. GEOFROY<sup>1</sup>, H. MARFAING<sup>2</sup>, R. AUJAY<sup>1</sup> and M. REYNAUD<sup>1</sup>.  
<sup>1</sup> INERIS, [olivier.le-bihan@ineris.fr](mailto:olivier.le-bihan@ineris.fr) - <sup>2</sup> AIRPARIF

## **ABSTRACT**

The purpose of this study is to quantify the exposure of people to the submicronic particles, and especially ultrafine/nanoparticules (< 100 nm) at an urban background site in the Paris area.

Since 2003, two particle sizers have been used every year for a winter five weeks campaign.

## **INTRODUCTION**

It is recognised that particles in urban air are responsible for serious health effects. The very small particles – ultrafines particules – are assumed to be important for the adverse health effects of particles [1, 2, 4].

Thus, it is of main importance to characterize the exposure to submicrometer particles, in addition to the regulatory survey measurements (PM10). Size distribution and number concentration should be considered.

France is especially concerned by this issue, due to the high percentage of diesel vehicles in the car fleet.

This paper presents the results of a winter five weeks field campaign which has been carried out at the urban background station of Gennevilliers, Paris area from 2003 [5] to 2006.

In order to characterize the exposure to submicrometer particles, the size distribution and number concentration have been monitored in the 10 to 500 nm range. The statutory air survey parameters, and meteorological parameters have also been considered.

## **INSTRUMENTATION**

A Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (TSI) equipped with a long DMA and a TSI-3010 Particle Counter has been used. Each quarter of hour measurement was based on four 200 seconds scans, in the 10 to 500 nm range. The measurements were performed at the Gennevilliers urban background station (North-West of Paris). The monitoring site is a fixed station of the Paris Air Monitoring Network (AIRPARIF), and includes quarter of hour measurements of NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, PM10 (TEOM) and PM2.5 (TEOM).

## **RESULTS**

Figure n°1 shows a view of the continuous measurements of the number of particles versus time.




Fig. 1: Total number concentration versus time.

Table 1 gives the total number 5% and 95% percentiles, and average. Table 2 gives the correlation (linear regression) between the total number concentration and other measurements (PM, gas).

| Number concentration | Percentile 5% | Percentile 95% | Average |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|
| 2003 (10-500 nm)     | 5 000         | 35 000         | 15 000  |
| 2004 (10 nm – 20 µm) | 2 000         | 20 000         | 9 000   |
| 2005 (10 nm – 20 µm) | 4 000         | 34 000         | 13 500  |
| Summer 2005          | 7 200         | 40 000         | 20 700  |

Table 1: Total number concentration.

| Correlation R<br>N (10-500 nm)<br>versus ... | 10-100<br>nm | 100-<br>500 nm | PM10<br>TEOM<br>50°C | PM2,5<br>TEOM<br>50°C | NO   | NO <sub>2</sub> | O <sub>3</sub> |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2003                                         | 0.99         | 0.87           | 0.51                 | 0.58                  | 0.73 | 0.64            | -0.50          |
| 2004                                         | 0.99         | 0.91           | 0.41                 | 0.37                  | 0.81 | 0.88            | -0.73          |
| 2005                                         | 0.99         | 0.91           | 0.67                 | 0.66                  | 0.72 | 0.77            | -0.58          |
| Summer 2005                                  |              |                | 0.64                 | 0.67                  | 0.68 | 0.84            | -0.45          |

Table 2: Correlation of the 10-500 nm number concentration versus PM and gas measurements.




Fig. 2: average size distribution (winter 2003 – 2005). Log scale.




Fig. 3: average size distribution (winter 2003 – 2005).

Ultrafine particles and non-volatile PM10 (TEOM 50°C) present the lowest correlation coefficient R (Table 2). These values (0.51, 0.41, 0.67) indicates a real but moderate correlation between these two parameters. The link would be better between the 100-500 nm range and PM2.5 (2005 : 0.83).

The winter average size distributions of the different campaigns (Figures 2 and 3) show quite a similar shape : the dominant mode is centred in the 20-50 nm range that is to say that the ultrafine particles (< 100 nm) dominate the number size distribution and the total number concentration.


This information confirms the correlation calculation (Table 2).

These results are in accordance to comparable studies [3].

## SEASONAL COMPARISON

In figure 4 are compared the 2005 winter and summer average size distributions. As seen in Table 1 and figure 4, the summer average total number concentration is higher than the winter one.

A more noteworthy observation is that the < 20 nm range seems to be characterized by



an important mode, indicating high nucleation production of new particles.

Fig. 4: comparison of the 2005 winter and summer average size distributions

## DAILY CYCLE

A systematic daily mode has been observed at the Gennevilliers urban background site with a morning and an evening peaks.

A link should be considered with traffic and heating, but also with the meteorological conditions (mixing layer height).




Fig. 5: daily variations of the total number concentration during working days.

## SIZE DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS

A software has been developed in order to replace the multi-mode size distribution by a sum of fragments (unimode size distributions in the form of log-normal functions). An example of results is proposed in Figure 6 and table 3.

|                             | Measurement | Calculated distribution | size |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|------|
| <b>Khi<sup>2</sup></b>      | -           | 2                       |      |
| <b>Number concentration</b> | 9 600 p/cc  | 9 950 p/cc              |      |

|               | Mean diameter | Concentration (p/cc) |
|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| <b>Mode A</b> | 8 nm          | 1 500                |
| <b>Mode B</b> | 36 nm         | 5 150                |
| <b>Mode C</b> | 120 nm        | 3 300                |

Table 3: fragmentation of the size distribution.

A comparison between the measurements has been carried out for each size distribution obtained thanks to the fragmentation (Khi 2 assessment).

It has been demonstrated that three modes are more or less permanent at the Gennevilliers site.




Fig. 6: fragmentation of the size distribution.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the LCSQA. Thanks to Tatiana Macé (LNE) for technical collaboration on APS sizer. Thanks to Isaline Fraboulet and Martine Ramel.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Donaldson K., Stone V., Clouter A., Renwick L., Mac Nee W. (2001). Ultrafine particles. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 58 (3), 211-216.
- [2] Li, XY, Brown D., Smith S., Mac Nee W., Donaldson K. (1999). Short-term inflammatory responses following intratracheal instillation of fine and ultrafine carbon black in rats. *Inhalation Toxicology*, 11 (8), 709-731.
- [3] Putaud et al. (2002). An European Aerosol Phenomenology : physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background sites in Europe. EUR 20411 EN.
- [4] Salvi S., Holgate S.T. (1999). Mechanisms of particulate matter toxicity. *Clinical and experimental allergy*, 29 (9), 1197-1194.
- [5] O. Le Bihan, P. Godard, H. Marfaing and M. Ramel, "Size distribution and number concentration of the 10-500 nm aerosol at an urban background site, Gennevilliers, Paris Area", European Aerosol Conference, Budapest, September 2004.